# Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS) & Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS)

# ABOUT THE IDS AND QIDS

Background and Rationale

# **VALIDITY**

Content

Criterion

Construct

# RELIABILITY

**Internal Consistency** 

# **GENERALIZABILITY**

TABLE 1. Selected Publications Using the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, IDS-C<sub>30</sub>, QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, or QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> Additional Applications

#### ADMINISTRATION

# **SCORING**

TABLE 2. Scoring IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, IDS-C<sub>30</sub>, QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>

#### INTERPRETATION

TABLE 3. Conversion Between IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, Total Scores and HRSD<sub>17</sub>, HRSD<sub>21</sub> and HRSD<sub>24</sub> Total Scores using IRT Analysis

TABLE 4. Estimated Comparisons of Total Scores

# SCALES AND TRANSLATIONS AVAILABLE

TABLE 5. Scales and Translations Available

# **LIMITATIONS**

MORE INFORMATION

# REFERENCES

# ABOUT THE IDS AND QIDS

The 30 item Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS) (Rush et al. 1986, 1996) and the 16 item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS) (Rush et al. 2003) are designed to assess the severity of depressive symptoms. Both the IDS and the QIDS are available in the clinician (IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>) and self-rated versions (IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>). The IDS and QIDS assess all the criterion symptom domains designated by the American Psychiatry Association Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - 4th edition (DSM-IV) (APA 1994) to diagnose a major depressive episode. These assessments can be used to screen for depression, although they have been used predominantly as measures of symptom severity. The seven day period prior to assessment is the usual time frame for assessing symptom severity.

The QIDS-C<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> cover only the nine diagnostic symptom domains used to characterize a major depressive episode, without items to assess atypical, melancholic or their commonly associated symptoms. All 16 items on the QIDS are included within the IDS. The IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>16</sub> include the criterion symptoms, as well as commonly associated symptoms (e.g. anxiety, irritability) and items relevant to melancholic, or atypical symptom features.

Both the IDS and QIDS are easy to administer in either the clinician-rated (IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>) or patient self report (IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>) versions; they require minimal training. Both versions are sensitive to change, with medications, psychotherapy, or somatic treatments, making them useful for both research and clinical purposes. The psychometric properties of both the IDS and QIDS, have both been established in various study samples.

Background and Rationale. There are several accepted clinician rated and patient self report measures of depressive symptoms. The most commonly used clinician rated scales are the 17, 21, 24, 28, and 31 item versions of the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) (Hamilton 1960, 1967), and the 10-item Montgomery-Asberg Scale (Montgomery and Asberg 1979). The most frequently used self-reports include the 13, and 21 item version of the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (Beck et al. 1961), the BDI-II (Beck et al. 1996), the Zung Depression Rating Scale (Zung 1965), the Carroll Rating Scale (CRS) (Carroll et al. 1981), and the Patient Health Questionnaire - 9 (PHQ-9) (Kroenke et al. 2001). The IDS and QIDS have been compared to several of these measures.

The IDS and QIDS were developed to improve on the available clinician and patient ratings by 1) providing equivalent weightings (0-3) for each symptom item; 2) providing clearly stated anchors that estimate the frequency and severity of symptoms; 3) including all DSM-IV criterion items required to diagnose a major depressive episode; and 4) providing matched clinician and patient ratings (Rush et al. 1986, 1996, 2003, 2005, in press, Gullion and Rush 1998, Trivedi et al 2004).

The items on the self report and clinician rated versions of the IDS- $C_{30}$  and IDS- $SR_{30}$  rate identical symptoms with equivalent anchors, as do the items of the QIDS- $C_{16}$  and QIDS- $SR_{16}$ . The self-report versions, the IDS- $SR_{30}$  and QIDS- $SR_{16}$  were developed to be easy to use severity measures, providing a potentially more time efficient alternative to the IDS- $C_{30}$  and QIDS- $C_{16}$  in both clinical or research settings.

Evidence of acceptable psychometric properties of the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> in depressed outpatients (Rush et al. 1996, 2000, 2003, in press, Gullion and Rush 1998, Trivedi et al. 2004) and depressed inpatients (Corruble et al. 1999) is available. There is also a substantial

correlation between total scores on the IDS-C<sub>30</sub>, IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, and the HRSD<sub>17</sub> (Rush et al 1986, 1996, Gullion and Rush 1998). The IDS ratings have been shown to differentiate endogenous from nonendogenous depressions (Rush et al. 1987, Domken et al. 1994), dysthymic disorder from major depressive disorder (Rush et al. 1987), depressed from nondepressed radiation oncology patients (Jenkins et al. 1998), and depressed from nondepressed cocaine-dependent jail inmates (Suris et al. 2001).

Following the development of the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, at the suggestion of Per Bech M.D., we decided to provide a shorter, time-efficient, 16-item version for use in clinical research and daily practice, that focuses only on the nine DSM-IV criterion symptom domains. The resulting scales are called the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology – Clinician Rating (QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>) and the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology – Self Report (QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>). The QIDS ratings were constructed by selecting only items from the 30 item scales needed to assess the nine DSM-IV criterion diagnostic symptom domains. The scoring system of the QIDS converts responses to the 16 separate items into the nine DSM-IV symptom criterion domains. The nine domains comprise 1) sad mood; 2) concentration; 3) self criticism; 4) suicidal ideation; 5) interest; 6) energy/fatigue; 7) sleep disturbance (initial, middle, and late insomnia or hypersomnia); 8) decrease or increase in appetite or weight; and 9) psychomotor agitation or retardation. The total score ranges from 0 to 27.

Note that the IDS<sub>30</sub> contains all of the QIDS<sub>16</sub> items, as well as mood reactivity, distinct mood quality, diurnal mood variation, irritable mood, anxious mood, capacity for pleasure, sexual interest, bodily aches and pains, panic or phobic symptoms, digestive problems, interpersonal rejection sensitivity, and leaden paralysis (Rush et al 1996). Both the IDS<sub>30</sub> and QIDS<sub>16</sub> rate symptoms for the prior 7 days (independent of whether they have been chronic,

long-standing, or recent).

# VALIDITY

Content. The IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, IDS-C<sub>30</sub>, QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, and QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> include items that rate the nine item symptom domains used to define a major depressive episode (APA 1994) (ICD-10). The IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and IDS-C<sub>30</sub> include additional items to define melancholic and atypical symptom features, as well as their commonly associated symptoms (e.g. irritability, anxiety), and endogenous symptom features defined by the Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC) (Spitzer et al. 1978).

Criterion. To determine if the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and IDS-C<sub>30</sub> measure depression in a manner consistent with the most widely used assessments, Rush et al. (1996) examined the relationship between IDS and QIDS scores, and HRSD<sub>17</sub> and BDI scores in a sample of 434 outpatients with major depressive disorder (MDD) and 103 normal controls. IDS and QIDS total scores were comparable to those obtained by the HRSD<sub>17</sub> and BDI, with Pearson product moment correlations of 0.95 between the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and the HRSD<sub>17</sub>, and 0.88 between the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and the HRSD<sub>17</sub>. The correlation coefficient between the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> was 0.91. The correlation between the BDI<sub>21</sub> and the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> was 0.86, while it was 0.93 between the BDI<sub>21</sub> and the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>. The correlation between the BDI<sub>21</sub> and the HRSD<sub>17</sub> was 0.85. In a sample of 68 newly admitted inpatients with MDD Corruble et al. (1999) found the MADRS to be correlated with the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> (c=0.81); and the 20 item depression factor from the SCL-90 (Derogatis 1977) were correlated with the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> (c=0.84). Rush et al. (2004) found high correlations between the QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, and the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> (c=0.96), HRSD<sub>17</sub> (c=0.81), HRSD<sub>21</sub>

(c=0.82), and HRSD<sub>24</sub> (c=0.84) at the exit interview after 12 weeks of acute phase outpatient treatment (n=596). Additionally, correlations between the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and the HRSD<sub>17</sub>, HRSD<sub>21</sub>, HRSD<sub>24</sub> total scores were 0.84, 0.85, and 0.86, respectively. Providing evidence that the IDS and QIDS are measuring depressive symptoms in the same manner, Trivedi et al. (2004) found the QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> total score was highly correlated with the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> total score for 544 adult outpatients with MDD (c=0.83). They also found robust correlations between the QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> and IDS-C<sub>30</sub> total scores for out patients with MDD (c=0.82, n=544) and Bipolar Disorder (BD) (c=0.81, n=402).

The IDS<sub>30</sub> and QIDS<sub>16</sub> are sensitive to changes in depressive severity in a manner consistent with the HRSD and BDI, with the IDS and QIDS demonstrating a greater sensitivity to change in the lower range of scores reported by 434 outpatients patients with MDD and 103 normal controls (Rush et al. 1996). In 68 newly admitted inpatients with a diagnosis of MDD, Corruble et al. (1999) found the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> to be as sensitive to change as the MADRS and the SCL-90 (depression subscale). In 62 patients with MDD, Biggs et al. (2000) found the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> to be significantly more sensitive to detecting change than standard five item visual analogue physician and patient self report global rating scales. In a retrospective analysis, Rush et al. (2000) found comparable levels of sensitivity to change in depressive severity when comparing HRSD<sub>24</sub> and the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> scores in a sample of 993 outpatients with MDD. In 596 adult outpatients with chronic, nonpsychotic MDD Rush et al. (2003) found the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> were equally sensitive to symptom change, when viewed as a discontinuous variable (response or remission), although the QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> seemed to be slightly less sensitive to residual symptoms that the longer IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>. In 544 outpatients with MDD, and 402 outpatients with Bipolar Disorder (BP), Trivedi et al. (2004) reported finding

equal sensitivity to symptom change when comparing the IDS-C<sub>30</sub>, IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>, QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, indicating high concurrent validity for all four scales. High concurrent validity was also documented based on the Medical Outcomes Study 12-item Short Form (SF-12) (Ware et al. 1996) mental health summary score for the population divided in quintiles based on their IDS and QIDS score. In 681 patients with chronic MDD assigned to 3 treatment groups (medication alone, medication and psychotherapy, psychotherapy alone), Rush et al. (2005) compared the HRSD<sub>24</sub> and the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> ratings, finding comparable change scores within groups. In addition, the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> confirmed response and remission rates based on the HRSD<sub>24</sub>.

Construct. The IDS and QIDS have been used to distinguish response from remission (Rush et al. 1996, 2000, 2003, 2004, 2005, Biggs et al. 2000, Trivedi et al. 2004), as well as to quantify between group treatment effects in open label and randomized controlled trials (Rush et al. 1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, Biggs et al. 2000, Trivedi et al. 2001, 2004, Corruble et al. 1999). The IDS assessments have demonstrated sensitivity and specificity equal to or in excess of the HRSD (Rush et al. 1996, 2000, 2003, in press), BDI (Rush et al. 1996), MADRS and SCL-90 (Corruble et al. 1999), with the IDS assessments showing a slight advantage in the lower scoring ranges (Rush et al. 1996, Corruble et al. 1999).

In 353 depressed outpatients, Rush et al. (1996) found three factors, 1) cognitive / mood, 2) anxiety / arousal, and 3) sleep and appetite regulation. However, the IDS is sufficiently unifactorial to allow for IRT analyses, and to create conversion tables with other standard ratings (Rush et al. 2003). Gullion and Rush (1998) factor analyzed a sample of 324 adult outpatients with MDD, concluding that when comparing the IDS with the HRSD, and the BDI, the IDS

provided more complete coverage of the symptom constructs defined by the factor structure of the combined assessment items (Gullion and Rush 1998).

#### RELIABILITY

Internal Consistency. Rush et al. (1996) reported the psychometric properties of the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> using a sample of 456 subjects (338 adult outpatients with MDD, and 118 normal controls). Cronbach's alpha (Cronbach 1951), was 0.94 for both the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> for the complete sample (n=456), and 0.67 and 0.77 for the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, respectively, for the sample of MDD patients (n=338). The results are contrasted with 0.89 for the HRSD<sub>17</sub> and 0.94 for the BDI<sub>21</sub> for the complete sample, and 0.53 for the HRSD<sub>17</sub> and 0.83 for the BDI<sub>21</sub> for the sample of MDD patients. In a sample of 68 newly admitted adult inpatients with MDD, Corruble et al. (1999) found a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.75 and 0.79 for the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> respectively. The authors also reported a Cronbach's alpha of 0.80 for the MARDS, and 0.95 for SCL-90 depression subscale in the same sample. Biggs et al. (2000) reported a Cronbach's alpha of 0.82 for the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and 0.83 for the IDC-SR<sub>30</sub> in a sample of 62 adult patients with MDD (28 inpatients, 34 outpatients).

In a study of 596 adult outpatients with chronic, nonpyschotic MDD, Rush et al (2003) reported Cronbach's alpha for the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, HRSD<sub>17</sub>, HRSD<sub>21</sub>, and HRSD<sub>24</sub>. This study revealed high internal consistency for all the scales; 0.92 for the IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>; 0.86 for the QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>; 0.83 for the HRSD<sub>17</sub>; 0.84 for the HRSD<sub>21</sub>; and 0.88 for the HRSD<sub>24</sub>.

Recently, Trivedi et al. (2004) reported the psychometric properties of the QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, as well as those of the original IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>. The authors assessed 544 patients with MDD and 402 with Bipolar Disorder (BD) using the QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>, QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, IDS-

 $C_{30}$  and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>. Cronbach's alpha was 0.85 (QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>), 0.86 (QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>), 0.90 (IDS-C<sub>30</sub>), 0.92 (IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>) for the MDD patients, and 0.81 (QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>), 0.89 (IDS-C<sub>30</sub>) for the patients with BD.

# **GENERALIZABILITY**

Both versions of the IDS have been used in nonpsychotic and psychotic MDD (Rush et al. 1986, 1996, 2003, Trivedi et al. 2001, 2004), postpartum depression (Yonkers et al. 2001), dysthymic disorder (Rush et al. 1987, 2005), minor depression (Rappaport et al. 2002, Nina et al. 2002, Judd et al. 2004), bipolar disorder (Rush et al. 1986, Denicoff et al. 2000, Suppes et al. 2002, Trivedi et al. 2004), as well as in patients with depression comorbid with cancer (Jenkins et al. 1998) and asthma (Brown et al. 2001). The IDS and QIDS have been used in a variety of research and clinical settings, including inpatient and outpatient psychiatric clinics, and primary care settings. They are under investigation in elderly and adolescent patients. These assessments have been widely used in both clinical and research settings, and have begun to be utilized as outcomes in industry sponsored randomized controlled trials. For a partial list of published uses of the IDS and QIDS see Table 1.

Additional Applications. The QIDS and IDS have been converted to an Interactive Voice Response system (IVR) which has been used to collect data directly from study patients. IVR is a computerized assessment administration system that uses a standard phone line to obtain patient responses, using a touchtone phone or response to a prerecorded voice asking specific questions. The IVR versions of the IDS/QIDS are the property of Health Technology Systems

Inc. (see below) and are not in the public domain. Additionally, the IDS and QIDS have been incorporated into symptom severity driven, computer supported algorithmic pharmacotherapy.

#### ADMINISTRATION

The patient is asked to rate the severity and frequency of specific symptoms present over the last 7 days. The clinician versions (IDS- $C_{30}$  and QIDS- $C_{16}$ ) are completed by a clinician or trained rater. It takes approximately 10 to 15 minutes to administer the IDS- $C_{30}$ , and 5 to 7 minutes to complete the QIDS- $C_{16}$ .

The clinician proceeds through the items, asking the patient to report on each item of the IDS-C<sub>30</sub>, or QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>. The symptoms are familiar to clinicians, as the individual items are defined by the constructs represented in the DSM-IV criteria for MDD. Each item is interval scaled from 0 to 3; 0 indicates absence of the symptom during the last 7 days. The anchors are intended to help raters represent the frequency and intensity associated with each item / symptom. An adjunctive semi-structured interview guide is available in the English and Spanish versions of the IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>. They provide a set of standardized introductory questions and follow-up prompts that are helpful in standardizing test administration. The semi-structured interview format guides less clinically experienced test administrators in test administration, assuring the constructs underlying the items are queried in a consistent manner, thus allowing for a high degree of confidence in the interpretations and subsequent generalizability of the scores obtained.

When administering the self-report version, patients should be instructed to take their time, read each item carefully, read all the possible responses, and choose the item response (0, 1, 2, or 3) that best describes themselves over the last 7 days. The self-report versions (IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>)

and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>) should be completed in one sitting. Care should be taken to note if the patient is not able to read the assessment effectively (i.e. literacy below 6th grade level). If patients are not able to confidently read the self report versions, the clinician rated structured interview versions should be substituted, or the self report can be read to the patient to assist him/her.

# **SCORING**

When complete, the IDS- $C_{30}$  and IDS- $SR_{30}$  are scored by summing responses to 28 of the 30 items to obtain a total score ranging from 0 to 84. Either appetite increase or decrease, but not both, are used to calculate the total score. Weight increase or decrease, but not both, are used to calculate the total score (Rush et al. 1996).

The QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> and the QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> total scores range from 0 to 27. The total score is obtained by adding the scores for each of the nine symptom domains of the DSM-IV MDD criteria: depressed mood, loss of interest or pleasure, concentration/decision making, self-outlook, suicidal ideation, energy/fatigability, sleep, weight/appetite change, and psychomotor changes (Rush et al. 2003). Sixteen items are used to rate the nine criterion domains of major depression: 4 items are used to rate sleep disturbance (early, middle, and late insomnia plus hypersomnia); 2 items are used to rate psychomotor disturbance (agitation and retardation); 4 items are used to rate appetite/weight disturbance (appetite increase or decrease and weight increase or decrease). Only one item is used to rate the remaining 6 domains (depressed mood, decreased interest, decreased energy, worthlessness/guilt, concentration/decision making, and suicidal ideation). Each item is rated 0-3. For symptom domains that require more than one item, the highest score of the item relevant for each domain is taken. For example, if early

12

insomnia is 0, middle insomnia is 1, late insomnia is 3, and hypersomnia is 0, the sleep

disturbance domain is rated 3. The total score ranges from 0-27. See Table 2.

**INTERPRETATION** 

See Table 3 below.

See Table 4 below.

SCALES AND TRANSLATIONS AVAILABLE

See Table 5 below.

**LIMITATIONS** 

The IDS and QIDS are currently being evaluated in adolescents, and in the elderly, as well as in

patients with severe general medical conditions. While being well accepted in both clinical and

research settings, the IDS and QIDS have only recently begun to be utilized as an outcome

measure in industry sponsored randomized placebo controlled clinical trials.

MORE INFORMATION

Current translations of the pencil and paper versions of the IDS and QIDS are available at no

cost to clinicians and researchers. Copies may be downloaded from this site and used without

permission. The IDS and QIDS are available in an automated telephone-administered format

(IVR) exclusively licensed to Health Technology Systems. Those wishing to consider the IVR

versions should contact: Healthcare Technology Systems, Inc., 7617 Mineral Point Road, Suite

300, Madison, Wisconsin, 53717. tel. 608-827-2419 or 800-316-2414; e-mail

clys@healthtechsys. com.

Table 1. Selected Publications Using the IDS-SR  $_{30},$  IDS-C  $_{30},$  QIDS-SR  $_{16},$  or QIDS-C  $_{16}$ 

Author	IDS- C <sub>30</sub>	IDS- SR <sub>30</sub>	QIDS- C <sub>16</sub>	QIDS- SR <sub>16</sub>	MDD	Minor DD	Dys	Bipolar	Normal	Sample Size
Rush et al. 1986	*	*			*			*	*	n=289
Rush et al. 1987	*	*			*					n=57
Tondo et al. 1988	*	*			*					n=86
Domken et al. 1994	*	*			*					n=48
Rush et al. 1996	*	*			*				*	n=552
Gullion and Rush 1998	*	*			*					n=324
Jenkins et al. 1998	*				*				*	n=52
Rush et al. 1998	*	*	*	*	*					n=122
Corruble et al. 1999	*	*			*					n=68
Biggs et al. 2000	*	*			*					n=62
Boyer et al. 2000	*				*					n=140
Denicoff et al. 2000	*							*		n=270
Post et al. 2001	*							*		n=64
Trivedi et al. 2001	*	*			*					n=993
Suris et al. 2001	*	*			*					n=32
Yonkers et al. 2001	*				*				*	n=802
Mamber et al. 2003		*			*					n=484
Suppes et al. 2002	*							*		n=12
Nina et al. 2002		*				*				n=681
Rappaport et al. 2002	*					*				n=226
Kessler et al. 2003				*	*					n=514
Rush et al. 2003	*	*	*	*	*					n=596
Judd et al. 2004	*					*				n=162
Trivedi et al. 2004	*	*	*	*	*			*		n=954
Trivedi et al. 2004	*	*			*					n=350
Rush et al. 2004	*				*					n=118

Fava et al. 2004	*		*	*	*		n=1450
Yates et al. 2004	*		*	*	*		n=1500
Zisook et al. 2004	*		*		*		n=1500
Rush et al. 2005		*		*	*	*	n=602
Perlis et al. 2005	*		*		*		n=1456
Gaynes et al. 2005	*			_	*		n=1500
Rush et al. in press			*	*	*		n=1500

Note. IDS-C<sub>30</sub> (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician rated, 30 item); IDS-C<sub>30</sub> (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 30 item); QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician rated, 16 item); QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 16 item), MDD (Major Depressive Disorder), Minor DD (Minor Depressive Disorder), Dys (Dysthymia), Bipolar (Bipolar Disorder), Normal (non clinically depressed controls).

# TABLE 2. Scoring IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>, IDS-C<sub>30</sub>, QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>, QIDS-C<sub>16</sub>

#### IDS-C<sub>30</sub> and IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>

1. Score 28 of the 30 items.

Score either item 11 or 12, score only one of the items do not score both items. Score either item 13 or 14, score only one of the items do not score both items. If both items 11 and 12 (or 13 and 14) are completed, score the highest of the two items.

2. Add the scores of the 28 items (28 of the 30 items) to obtain the total score. Total scores range from 0-84.

#### QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> and QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

- 1. Enter the highest score on any 1 of the 4 sleep items (items 1 to 4). Enter the highest score on any 1 of the 4 weight items (items 6 to 9). Enter the highest score on either of the 2 psychomotor items (15 and 16).
- 2. There will be one score for each of the nine MDD symptom domains.
- 3. Add the scores of the 9 items (sleep, weight, psychomotor changes, depressed mood, decreased interest, fatigue, guilt, concentration, and suicidal ideation) to obtain the total score. Total scores range from 0-27.

Note. IDS-C<sub>30</sub> (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician rated, 30 item); IDS-C<sub>30</sub> (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 30 item); QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician rated, 16 item); QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 16 item).

TABLE 3. Conversion Between IDS-SR $_{30}$  and QIDS-SR $_{16}$ , Total Scores and HRSD $_{17}$ , HRSD $_{21}$  and HRSD $_{24}$  Total Scores Using IRT Analysis

Severity <sup>1</sup>	IDS-SR <sub>30</sub>	QIDS-SR <sub>16</sub>	HRSD <sub>17</sub>	HRSD <sub>21</sub>	HRSD <sub>24</sub>
0	0–3	0	0	0–1	0–1
0	4–5	1	1–2	2	2
0	6	2	3	3	3–4
0	7–8	3	4	4	5
0	9–11	4	5–6	5–6	6–7
0	12–13	5	7	7–8	8–9
1	14–16	6	8	9	10–11
1	17–18	7	9–10	10	12
1	19–21	8	11	11–12	13–14
1	22–23	9	12	13	15–16
1	24–25	10	13	14–15	17–18
2	26–28	11	14–15	16	19
2	29–30	12	16	17	20–21
2	31–33	13	17	18–19	22–23
2	34–36	14	18–19	20–21	24–25
2	37–38	15	18–19	22	26
3	39–40	16	20	23	27–28
3	41–43	17	21–22	24–25	29–30
3	44–45	18	23	26	31–32
3	46–47	19	24	27	33
3	48	20	25	28	34
4	49–53	21	26–27	29–31	35–38
4	54–55	22	28	32	39
4	56–58	23	29	33–34	40–41
4	59–61	24	30–31	35–36	42–44
4	62–24	25	32	37–38	45–46
4	65–67	26	33–35	39–41	47–49
4	68–84	27	36–52	42–64	50–75

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Severity of Depression. 0=None, 1=Mild, 2=Moderate, 3=Severe, 4=Very Severe. Note. IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 30 item); QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 16 item); HRSD (Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, 17, 21, 24 item). Derived from Rush et al. 2003.

**TABLE 4. Estimated Comparisons of Total Scores** 

Severity <sup>1</sup>	IDS-C <sub>30</sub>	IDS-SR <sub>30</sub>	QIDS-C <sub>16</sub>	QIDS-SR <sub>16</sub>	HRSD <sub>17</sub>	HRSD <sub>21</sub>	HRSD <sub>24</sub>	MADRS	BDI
0	0	0–3	0	0	0	0–1	0–1	0	0
0	1	4–5	1	1	1–2	2	2		
0	1	6	2	2	3	3	3–4		
0	7-8	7–8	3	3	4	4	5		
0	9-10	9–11	4	4	5–6	5–6	6–7		
0	11	12–13	5	5	7	7–8	8–9	6	9
1	12-15	14–16	6	6	8	9	10–11	7	10
1	16-17	17–18	7	7	9–10	10	12		
1	18-20	19–21	8	8	11	11-12	13–14		
1	21-22	22–23	9	9	12	13	15–16		
1	23	24–25	10	10	13	14–15	17–18	19	18
2	24-27	26–28	11	11	14–15	16	19	20	19
2	28-29	29–30	12	12	16	17	20–21		
2	30-32	31–33	13	13	17	18–19	22–23		
2	33-35	34–36	14	14	18–19	20–21	24–25		
2	36	37–38	15	15	18–19	22	26	34	29
3	37-39	39–40	16	16	20	23	27–28	35	30
3	40-41	41–43	17	17	21–22	24–25	29–30		
3	42-43	44–45	18	18	103	26	31–32		
3	44-45	46–47	19	19	24	27	33		
3	46	48	20	20	25	28	34		
4	47-51	49–53	21	21	26–27	29–31	35–38		
4	52-53	54–55	22	22	28	32	39		
4	54-56	56–58	23	23	29	33–34	40–41		
4	57-59	59–61	24	24	30–31	35–36	42–44		
4	60-62	62–24	25	25	32	37–38	45–46		
4	63-65	65–67	26	26	33–35	39–41	47–49		
4	66-84	68–84	27	27	36–52	42–64	50–75	60	63

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Severity of Depression. 0=None, 1=Mild, 2=Moderate, 3=Severe, 4=Very Severe.

Note. IDS- $C_{30}$  (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician rated, 30 item); IDS- $SR_{30}$  (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 30 item); QIDS- $C_{16}$  (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician rated, 16 item); QIDS- $SR_{16}$  (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 16 item); HRSD (Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression, 17, 21, 24 item); MADRS (Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). Adapted from (Rush et al. 2003, Trivedi et al. 2004).

# TABLE 5. Scales and Translations Available

#### **ENGLISH**

English IDS-C<sub>30\*</sub> English IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> English QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub> English QIDS-C<sub>16\*</sub>

English Combined HRSD<sub>17</sub> & IDS-C<sub>30</sub> English Combined HRSD<sub>17</sub> & QIDS-C<sub>16\*</sub>

<sup>a</sup>English (Australia) IDS-C<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>English (Australia) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>English (Canada) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>English (Singapore) IDS-C<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>English (Singapore) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>English (Taiwan) IDS-C<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>English (Taiwan) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>

#### **CHINESE**

<sup>a</sup>Chinese (Singapore) IDS-C<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>Chinese (Singapore) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>Chinese (Taiwan) IDS-C<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>Chinese (Taiwan) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>

#### **DANISH**

<sup>e</sup>Danish IDS-C<sub>30</sub> <sup>e</sup>Danish IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>

# **D**UTCH

<sup>e</sup>Dutch IDS-C<sub>30</sub> <sup>e</sup>Dutch IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> <sup>b</sup>Dutch QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

# **FRENCH**

<sup>a</sup>French IDS-C<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>French IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>
<sup>b</sup>French QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>
<sup>b</sup>French (Belgian) QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

# **GERMAN**

<sup>a</sup>German IDS-C<sub>30</sub> <sup>a</sup>German IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> <sup>b</sup>German QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

#### **ITALIAN**

<sup>e</sup>Italian IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> <sup>b</sup>Italian QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

# **NORWEGIAN**

<sup>a</sup>Norwegian IDS-C<sub>30</sub> <sup>a</sup>Norwegian IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>

# **PORTUGUESE**

<sup>a</sup>Portuguese (Brazil) IDS-C<sub>30</sub> <sup>a</sup>Portuguese (Brazil) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>

# RUSSIAN

<sup>b</sup>Russian QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

# **SPANISH**

°Spanish IDS-C<sub>30\*</sub>
°Spanish IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>
°Spanish QIDS-C<sub>16\*</sub>
°Spanish QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

<sup>a</sup>Spanish (Mexico) IDS-C<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>Spanish (Mexico) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>Spanish (Chile, Colombia, Peru, Venezuela) IDS-C<sub>30</sub>
<sup>a</sup>Spanish (Chile, Colombia, Peru, Venezuela) IDS-SR<sub>30</sub>

<sup>d</sup>Spanish Combined IDS-C<sub>30</sub> & HRSD<sub>17</sub> <sup>d</sup>Spanish Combined QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> & HRSD<sub>17</sub>

#### **SLOVAKIAN**

<sup>b</sup>Slovakian QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

#### **TURKISH**

<sup>e</sup>Turkish IDS-C<sub>30</sub> <sup>e</sup>Turkish IDS-SR<sub>30</sub> <sup>e</sup>Turkish QIDS-C<sub>16</sub> <sup>e</sup>Turkish QIDS-SR<sub>16</sub>

\*structured interview prompts available

aMerk and Co. translation, Mapi Research Institute translation,

TMAP, STAR-D. Translation technique: two forward translations and one backward. Individual translation.

Additional translations will be posted as they become available.

Note. IDS- $C_{30}$  (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician rated, 30 item); IDS- $C_{30}$  (Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 30 item); QIDS- $C_{16}$  (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician rated, 16 item); QIDS- $C_{16}$  (Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Self Report, 16 item).

# REFERENCES

- 1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV). Washington DC. 1994.
- 2. Beck, A.T., Steer, R.A., Ball, R., Ranieri, W. Comparison of Beck Depression Inventories IA and II in psychiatric outpatients. <u>Journal of Personality Assessment</u>, <u>67(3)</u>: 588-597, 1996.
- 3. Beck, A.T., Ward, C.H., Mendelson, M., Mock, J.E., and Erbaugh, J.K. An inventory for measuring depression. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, <u>4</u>: 561-571, 1961.
- 4. Biggs, M.M., Shores-Wilson, K., Rush, A.J., Carmody, T.J., Trivedi, M.H., Crismon, M.L., Toprac, M.G. and Mason, M. A comparison of alternative assessments of depressive symptom severity: A pilot study. <u>Psychiatry Research</u>, <u>96</u>:269-279, 2000.
- 5. Boyer, P., Tassin, J.P., Falissart, B., and Troy, S. Sequential improvement of anxiety, depression and anhedonia with sertraline treatment in patients with major depression. <u>Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics</u>, <u>25(5)</u>:363-371, 2000.
- 6. Brown, E.S., Khan, DA., Nejtek, V.A., Thomas, N.R. and Mahadi, S.F. Depressive symptoms and functioning in asthma patients. <u>Primary Care Psychiatry</u>, <u>6</u>(4):155-161, 2001.
- 7. Carpenter, L.L., Yasmin, S., Price, L.H. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of antidepressant augmentation with mirtazapine. <u>Biological Psychiatry</u>, <u>51</u>:183-188, 2002.
- 8. Carroll, B.J., Feinberg, M., Smouse, P.E., Rawson, S.G., and Greden, J.F. The Carroll Rating Scale for depression, 1: Development, reliability and validity. <u>British Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 138:194-209, 1981.
- 9. Corruble, E., Legrand, J.M., Duret, C., Charles, G. and Guelfi, J.D. IDS-C and IDS-SR: Psychometric properties in depressed in-patients. <u>Journal of Affective Disorders</u>, <u>56</u>:95-101, 1999.
- 10. Corruble, E., Legrand, J.M., Zvenigorowski, H., Duret, C. and Guelfi, J.D. Concordance between self-report and clinician's assessment of depression. <u>Journal of Psychiatric Research</u>, <u>33</u>:457-465, 1999.
- 11. Cronbach, L. J. Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. <u>Psychometrika</u>, <u>16</u>:297 309, 1951.
- 12. Denicoff, K.D., Leverich, G.S., Nolen, W.A., Rush, A.J., McElroy, S.L., Keck, P.E., Suppes, T., Altshuler, L.L., Kupka, R., Frye, M.A., Hatef, J., Brotman, M.A. and Post, R.M. Validation of the prospective NIMH-Life-Chart Method (NIMH-LCM-p) for longitudinal assessment of bipolar illness. <a href="Psychological Medicine">Psychological Medicine</a>, <a href="30(6):1391-1397">30(6):1391-1397</a>, <a href="2000">2000</a>.

- 13. Depression Guideline Panel. <u>Clinical Practice Guideline</u>. <u>Number 5. Depression in Primary Care: Volume 2. Treatment of Major Depression</u>. Rockville, MD: US Dept. of Health and Human Services, Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. AHCPR Publication No. 93-0551, 1993.
- 14. Derogatis, L.R. SCL-90 Version Manual 1. Clinical Psychometrics Research Unit, John Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore.
- 15. Domkin, M., Scott, J. and Kelly, P. What factors predict discrepancies between self and observer ratings of depression? <u>Journal of Affective Disorders</u>, 31:253-259, 1994.
- 16. Dunn, A.L., Trivedi, M.H., Kampert, J.B., Clark, C.G. and Chambliss, H.O. The DOSE study: a clinical trial to examine efficacy and dose response of exercise as treatment for depression. Controlled Clinical Trials, 23(5):584-603, 2002.
- 17. Fava M, Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Nierenberg AA, Thase ME, Sackeim HA, Quitkin FM, Wisniewski S, Lavori PW, Rosenbaum JF, Kupfer DJ, for the STAR\*D Investigators Group. Background and rationale for the Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR\*D) study. In Dunner DL, Rosenbaum JF, eds., <a href="Psychiatric Clinics of North America.">Psychiatric Clinics of North America.</a> Volume 26. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders Company, pp. 1-38, 2003.
- 18. Fava, M., Alpert, J.E., Carmin, C.N., Wisniewski, S.R., Trivedi, M.H., Biggs, M.M., Shores-Wilson, K., Morgan, D., Schwartz, T., Balasubramani, G.K. and Rush, A.J. Clinical correlates and symptom patterns of anxious depression among patients with major depressive disorder in STAR\*D. Psychological Medicine, 34:1299-1308, 2004.
- 19. First, M., Spitzer, R., Gibbon, M., Williams, J. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I), Clinical Version. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 1997.
- 20. Gaynes, B.N., Rush, A.J., Trivedi, M., Wisniewski, S.R., Balasubramani, G.K., Spencer, D.C., Petersen, T., Klinkman, M., Warden, D., Schneider, R.K., Castro, D.B. and Golden, R.N. A direct comparison of presenting characteristics of depressed outpatients from primary vs. specialty care settings: preliminary findings from the STAR\*D clinical trial. General Hospital Psychiatry, 27:87-96, 2005.
- 21. Gullion, C.M. and Rush, A.J. Toward a generalizable model of symptoms in major depressive disorder. <u>Biological Psychiatry</u>, <u>44</u>:959-972, 1998.
- 22. Hulin, C.L., Drasgow, F., Parsons, C.K. Item Response Theory: Applications to Psychological Measurement. Homewood, IL: Dow Jones Irwin, 1983.
- 23. Hamilton, M. A rating scale for depression. <u>Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and</u> Psychiatry, 23:56-62, 1960.
- 24. Hamilton, M. Development of a rating scale for primary depressive illness. <u>British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychiatry</u>, 6:278-296, 1967.

- 25. Jenkins, C., Carmody, T.J., and Rush, A.J. Depression in radiation oncology patients: A preliminary evaluation. <u>Journal of Affective Disorders</u>, <u>50</u>:17-21, 1998.
- 26. Judd, J., Rapaport, M.H., Yonkers, K.A., Rush, A.J., Frank, E., Thase, M.E., Kupfer, D.J., Plewes, J.M., Schettler, P.J., and Tollefson, G. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of fluoxetine for acute treatment of minor depressive disorder. <u>American Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 161:1864-1871, 2004.
- 27. Kalpakjian, C.Z., Lam, C.S., and Leahy, B.J. Conceptualization and identification of depression in adults with brain damage by clients and rehabilitation clinical staff. <u>Brain Injury</u>, 16(6):501-507, 2002.
- 28. Kashner, T.M., Carmody, T.J., Suppes, T., Rush, A.J., Crismon, M.L., Miller, A.L., Toprac, M., and Trivedi, M. Catching up on health outcomes: the Texas Medication Algorithm Project. Health Services Research, 38(1 Pt 1):311-331, 2003.
- 29. Keller, M.B., McCullough, J.P., Klein, D.N., Arnow, B., Dunner, D.L., Gelenberg, A.J., et al. A comparison of nefazodone, the cognitive-behavioral analysis system of psychotherapy, and their combination for the treatment of chronic depression. <a href="New England Journal of Medicine">New England Journal of Medicine</a>, 342:1462-1470, 2000.
- 30. Kessler, R.C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Koretz, D., Merikangas, K.R., Rush, A.J., Walters, E.E., and Wang, P.S.; National Comorbidity Survey Replication. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). Journal of the American Medical Association, 289(23):3095-3105, 2003.
- 31. Koran LM, Gelenberg AJ, Kornstein SG, Howland RH, Friedman RA, DeBattista C, Klein D, Kocsis JH, Schatzberg AF, Thase ME, Rush AJ, Hirschfeld RMA, LaVange LM, Keller MB. Sertraline versus imipramine to prevent relapse in chronic depression. <u>J Affect Disord</u>, 65:27-36, 2001.
- 32. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression measure. <u>J</u> Gen Intern Med, <u>16</u>:603-613, 2001.
- 33. Leon AC, Ofson M, Portera L, Farber L, Sheehan DV. Assessing psychiatric impairment in primary care with the Sheehan Disability Scale. <u>In J Psychiatry Med</u>, <u>27</u>:93-105, 1997.
- 34. Leverich, G.S., Nolen, W.A., Rush, A.J., McElroy, S.L., Keck, P.E., Denicoff, K.D., Suppes, T., Altshuler, L.L., Kupka, R., Kramlinger, K.G. and Post R.M. The Stanley Foundation Bipolar Treatment Outcome Network.I. Longitudinal methodology. <u>Journal of Affective Disorders</u>, 67(1-3):33-44, 2001.
- 35. Manber, R., Rush, A.J., Thase, M.E., Amow, B., Klein, D., Trivedi, M.H., Korenstein, S.G., Markowitz, J.C., Dunner, D.L., Munsaka, M., Borian, F.E., and Keller, M.B. The effects of psychotherapy, nefazodone, and their combination on subjective assessment of disturbed sleep in chronic depression. <u>Sleep</u>, 26(2):130-136, 2003.

- 36. Mongomery, S.A. and Asberg, M.A. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. British Journal of Psychiatry, 134:382-389, 1979.
- 37. Nierenberg AA, McLean NE, Alpert JE, Worthington JJ, Rosenbaum JF, Fava M. Early nonresponse to fluoxetine as a predictor of poor 8-week outcome. <u>Am J Psychiatry</u>;152:1500-1503, 1995.
- 38. Ninan, P.T., Rush, A.J., Crits-Christoph, P., Kornstein, S.G., Manber, R., Thase, M.E., Trivedi, M.H., Rothbaum, B.O., Zajecka, J., Borian, F.E., and Keller, M.B. Symptomatic and syndromal anxiety in chronic forms of major depression: effect of nefazodone, cognitive behavioral analysis system of psychotherapy, and their combination. <u>Journal of Clinical Psychiatry</u>, 63(5):434-441, 2002.
- 39. Perlis, R.H., Fraguas, R., Bankier, B., Fava, M., Trivedi, M., Luther, J.F., Wisniewski, S., Rush, A.J. Prevalence and clinical correlates of irritability in major depressive disorder: A preliminary report from STAR\*D. <u>Journal of Clinical Psychiatry</u>, <u>66</u>(2):159-166, 2005.
- 40. Post, R.M., Altshuler, L.L., Frye, M.A., Suppes, T., Rush, A.J., Keck, P.E., McElroy, S.L., Denicoff, K.D., Leverich, G.S., Kupka, R., and Nolen, W.A. Rate of switch in bipolar patients prospectively treated with second-generation antidepressants as augmentation to mood stabilizers. Bipolar Disorders, 3:259-265, 2001.
- 41. Quitkin FM, Petkova E, McGrath PJ, Taylor B, Beasley C, Stewart J, Amsterdam J, Fava M, Rosenbaum J, Reimherr F, Fawcett J, Chen Y, Klein D. When should a trial of fluoxetine for major depression be declared failed? <u>Am J Psychiatry;160</u>:734-740, 2003.
- 42. Rapaport, M.H., Judd, L.L., Schettler, P.J., Yonkers, K.A., Thase, M.E., Kupfeer, D.J., Frank, E., Plewes, J.M., Tollefson, G.D., and Rush, A.J. A descriptive analysis of minor depression. American Journal of Psychiatry, 159:637-643, 2002.
- 43. Rush, A.J., Bernstein, I.H., Trivedi, M.H., Carmody, T.J., Wisniewski, S., Mundt, J.C., Shores-Wilson, K., Biggs, M.M., Nierenberg, A.A., Fava, M.F. An evaluation of the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression: A Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression trial report. Biological Psychiatry, in press.
- 44. Rush, A.J., Carmody T.J., Ibrahim H.M., Trivedi M.H., Biggs M.M., Shores-Wilson K., Crismon, M.L., Toprac M.G., and Kashner T.M. Do self-report ratings of depression compare favorably to clinician ratings in public sector patients? Manuscript in preparation, available upon request.
- 45. Rush, A.J., Carmody, T. and Reimitz, P.E. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): Clinician (IDS-C) and self-report (IDS-SR) ratings of depressive symptoms. <u>International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research</u>, 9:45-59, 2000.

- 46. Rush AJ, Fava M, Wisniewski SR, Lavori PW, Trivedi MH, Sackeim HA, Thase ME, Nierenberg AA, Quitkin FM, Kashner TM, Kupfer DJ, Rosenbaum JF, Alpert J, Stewart J, McGrath PJ, Biggs MM, Shores-Wilson K, O'Neal BL, Lebowitz BD, Ritz L, Niederehe G, for the STAR\*D Investigators Group. Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR\*D): Rationale and design. Controlled Clinical Trials, 25:119-142, 2004.
- 47. Rush, A.J., Giles, D.E., Schlesser, M.A., Fulton, C.L., Weissenburger, J.E. and Burns, C.T. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): Preliminary findings. <u>Psychiatry</u> Research, 18:65-87, 1986.
- 48. Rush, A.J., Gullion, C.M., Basco, M.R., Jarrett, R.B. and Trivedi, M.H. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): Psychometric properties. <u>Psychological Medicine</u>, 26:477-486, 1996.
- 49. Rush, A.J., Hiser, W. and Giles, D.E. A comparison of self-reported versus clinician-rated symptoms in depression. <u>Journal of Clinical Psychiatry</u>, <u>48</u>:246-248, 1987.
- 50. Rush, A.J., Trivedi, M.H., Carmody, T.J., Biggs, M.M., Shores-Wilson, K., Ibrahim, H., and Crismon, M.L. One-year clinical outcomes of depressed public-sector outpatients: A benchmark for subsequent studies. <u>Biological Psychiatry</u>, <u>56</u>:46-53, 2004.
- 51. Rush, A.J., Trivedi, M.H., Carmody, T.J., Ibrahim, H.M., Markowitz, J.C., Keitner, G.I., Kornstein, S.G., Arnow, B., Klein, D.N., Manber, R., Dunner, D.L., Gelenberg, A.J., Kocsis, J.H., Nemeroff C.B., Fawcett, J., Thase, M.E., Russell, J.M., Jody, D.N., Borlan, F.E., Keller, M.B. Self-reported depressive symptom measures: Sensitivity to detecting change in a randomized, controlled trial of chronically depressed, nonpsychotic outpatients. Neuropsychopharmacology, 30:405-416, 2005.
- 52. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Ibrahim HM, Carmody TJ, Arnow B, Klein DN, Markowitz JC, Ninan PT, Kornstein S, Manber R, Thase ME, Kocsis JH, Keller MB. The 16-item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS) Clinician Rating (QIDS-C) and Self-Report (QIDS-SR): A psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. Biological Psychiatry, 54:573-583, 2003.
- 53. Schulberg HC, Katon W, Simon GE, Rush AJ. Treating major depression in primary care practice: An update of the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research practice guidelines. <u>Arch Gen Psychiatry</u>, <u>55</u>:1121-1127, 1998.
- 54. Suppes, T., Chisholm, K.A., Dhavale, D., Frye, M.A., Altshuler, L.L., McElroy, S.L., Keck, E., Nolen, W.A., Kupka, R., Denicoff, K.D., Leverich, G.S., Rush, A.J., and Post, R.M. Tiagabine in treatment refractory bipolar disorder: a clinical case series. <u>Bipolar Disorder</u>, <u>4</u>: 283-289, 2002.
- 55. Surís, A., Kashner, T.M., Gillaspy, J.A., Jr., Biggs, M. and Rush, A.J. Validation of the Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (IDS) in cocaine dependent inmates. <u>Journal of Offender Rehabilitation</u>, <u>32</u>:15-30, 2001.

- 56. Tondo, L., Burrai, C., Scamonatti, L., Weissenburger, J.E. and Rush, A.J. A comparison between clinician-rated and self-reported depressive symptoms in Italian psychiatric patients. Neuropsychobiology, 19:1-5, 1988.
- 57. Trivedi, M.H., Rush, A.J., Crismon, M.L., Kashner, T.M., Toprac, M.G., Carmody, T.J., Key, T., Biggs, M.M., Shores-Wilson, K, Whitte, B., Suppes, T., Miller, A.L., Altshuler, K.Z., and Shon, S.P. Clinical results for the patient with major depressive disorder in the Texas Medication Algorithm Project. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, 61:669-679, 2004.
- 58. Trivedi, M.H., Rush, A.J., Ibrahim, H.M., Carmody, T.J., Biggs, M.M., Suppes, T., Crismon, M.L., Shores-Wilson, K., Toprac, M.G., Dennehy, E.B., Whitte, B., and Kashner, T.M. The Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology, Clinician Rating (IDS-C) and Self-Report (IDS-SR), the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symtomatology, Clinician Rating (QIDS-C) and Self-Report (QIDS-SR) in public sector patients with mood disorders: a psychometric evaluation. Psychological Medicine, 34:73-82, 2004.
- 59. Trivedi, M.H., Rush, A.J., Pan, J.Y and Carmody, T.J. Which depressed patients respond to nefazodone and when? <u>Journal of Clinical Psychiatry</u>, <u>62(3)</u>:158-163, 2001.
- 60. Tsevat, J., Keck, P.E., Hornung, R.W., and McElroy, S.L. Health values of patients with bipolar disorder. Quality of Life Research, 9(5):579-586, 2000.
- 61. Ware, J.E., Kosinske, M. and Keller, S.D. A 12-item short-form health survey (SF-12): construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. <u>Medical Care</u>, <u>34</u>: 220-233, 1996.
- 62. Yates, W.R., Mitchell, J., Rush, A.J., Trivedi M., Wisniewski, S., Warden, D., Hauger, R.B., Fava, M., Gaines, B.N., Husain, M., and Bryan, C. Clinical features of depressed outpatients with and without general medical conditions in STAR\*D. <u>General Hospital Psychiatry</u>, <u>26</u>(6):421-429, 2004.
- 63. Yonkers, K.A., Ramin, S.M., Rush, A.J., Navarrete, C.A., Carmody, T., March, D., Heartwell, S.F. and Leveno, K.J. Onset and persistence of postpartum depression in an intercity maternal health clinical system. <u>American Journal of Psychiatry</u>, <u>158(11)</u>:1856-1863, 2001.
- 64. Zisook, S., Rush, A.J., Albala, A., Alpert, J., Balasubramani, G.K., Fava, M., Husain, M., Sackeim, H., Trivedi, M. and Wisniewski, S. Factors that differentiate early vs. later onset of major depressive disorder. <a href="https://example.com/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychiatry/Psychi
- 65. Zung, W.W.K. A self-rating depression scale. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, <u>12</u>:63-70, 1965.